

ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Molecular surveillance and clinical outcomes of carbapenem-resistant *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infections

Sz-Rung Huang^a, Meei-Fang Liu^a, Chin-Fu Lin^c, Zhi-Yuan Shi^{a,b,*}

^a Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan ^b School of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan ^c Microbiology Section of the Medical Laboratory, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan

Received 30 April 2012; received in revised form 3 July 2012; accepted 28 August 2012 Available online 29 November 2012

KEYWORDS

Carbapenemresistant; Escherichia coli; Klebsiella pneumoniae Background/Purpose: The emergence of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae (CRE) is a cause for great concern. The aim of this study was to evaluate antimicrobial susceptibility, mechanisms of carbapenem-resistance in two members of the Enterobacteriaceae family (Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae), and clinical outcomes of their infections. Methods: The susceptibility tests of 16 E. coli and 60 K. pneumoniae isolates, collected from 2010 to 2011, were assessed. The minimal inhibitory concentrations of eight antimicrobial agents were assessed by the broth microdilution method according to the recommendations of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. The detection of beta-lactamase genes was performed by polymerase chain reaction. The genetic relatedness of these isolates was determined by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) fingerprinting. Results: The carbapenemase genes bla_{KPC-2} and bla_{OXA} were detected in one and five K. pneumoniae isolates, respectively. The genetic combinations $bla_{SHV-5}-bla_{DHA}$ and $bla_{SHV-5}-bla_{CTx-M-1}$ _{G9} were prevalent in 45% and 26.7% of 60 K. pneumoniae isolates, respectively. The susceptibility rates of 60 K. pneumoniae isolates to colistin and tigecycline were 58.3% and 50.0%, respectively. The 30-day mortality rates of the patients treated with carbapenem, colistin, or tigecycline were as high as 60.6%. Nine clusters of K. pneumoniae isolates were identified by PFGE fingerprinting.

* Corresponding author. Division of Infectious Diseases, Department of Internal Medicine, Taichung Veterans General Hospital, 160, Section 3, Chung-Kang Road, Taichung 40705, Taiwan.

E-mail address: zyshi@vghtc.gov.tw (Z.-Y. Shi).

1684-1182/\$36 Copyright © 2012, Taiwan Society of Microbiology. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmii.2012.08.029

Conclusion: The findings of carbapenemase genes in a few isolates and small clusters of CRE indicated the emerging problems in the hospital. The high mortality rates were observed in the patients treated by colistin and tigecycline, although they were the only alternative treatment options for CRE infections. Active surveillance and an effective infection control strategy should be implemented to control the spread of CRE infections.

Copyright © 2012, Taiwan Society of Microbiology. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC. All rights reserved.

Introduction

The global spread of carbapenem-resistant *Enter-obacteriaceae* (CRE) is an alarming crisis. According to the Study for Monitoring Antimicrobial Resistance Trends (SMART) program (2009–2010), the ertapenem resistance rate of *Escherichia coli* isolates from urinary tract infections was less than 2%.¹ However, the prevalence of CRE was as high as 31.6% in long-term acute-care facilities in south-east Michigan, USA.² This finding suggested that CRE is more prevalent in high-risk groups than in the healthy population.

Mechanisms of carbapenem resistance included carbapenemase production, a combination of AmpC hyperproduction and/or extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) production, and porin mutation³ (such as ESBLs of SHV- and CTX-M types combined with a deficiency of OmpK35 or OmpK36 in Klebsiella pneumoniae,^{4,5} AmpC overexpression and OmpC or OmpF in Enterobacter cloacae,⁵ and CTX-M-type ESBL and loss of OmpC in E. coli⁶). Various carbapenemases have been classified, including class A beta-lactamases (NMC, IMI, SME, KPC, GES), class B beta-lactamases (IMP, VIM, GIM, SPM, NDM), and class D beta-lactamase (OXA).⁷ The carbapenemases KPC-2^{8,9} and NDM-1^{9,10} have been reported to have been spreading around the world since late 2000s. ST11 was reported to be the dominant clone of KPC-2-producing K. pneumoniae in China.¹¹ OXA-48-producing Enterobacteriaceae have been reported in Europe, East-Central Asia, and Africa.^{9,12}

In Taiwan, the rate of ertapenem resistance in E. coli isolates increased from 0.1% in 1999 to 1.7% in 2007.¹³ The rate of ertapenem resistance (shown by disk diffusion tests) among 2421 K. pneumoniae isolates from a Taiwanese hospital in 2008 was 13.5%.¹⁴ Carbapenemases have been detected in Enterobacteriaceae in Taiwan, such as IMP-8 in K. pneumoniae¹⁴ and E. cloacae,¹⁵ VIM-2 in Citrobacter freundii,¹⁵ and KPC-2 in K. pneumoniae.¹⁶ However, OXA-producing Enterobacteriaceae have not yet been reported in Taiwan. The production of ESBL and/or AmpC combined with a loss of porins has been reported in Taiwan, for example the production of CMY-2 combined with a decreased expression of OmpF and/or OmpC in E. coli¹³; and the loss of OmpK36 combined with a production of both ESBL and AmpC in K. pneumoniae¹⁷ (such as CTX-M-14, SHV-12, and DHA-1; SHV-5 and DHA-1; SHV-12 and DHA-1; and CTX-M-14 and CMY-2). Few studies have investigated the prevalence rate of CRE, the types of carbapenemase, and the outcomes of CRE infections in Taiwan. We therefore conducted this study to evaluate these CRE-related issues.

Materials and methods

Bacterial strains

During the period January 2010 to December 2011, 8904 E. coli and 5820 K. pneumoniae isolates were identified at the Microbiology Laboratory of Taichung Veterans General Hospital (TCVGH). The disk diffusion test for Enterobacteriaceae was read according to the 2009 recommendations of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI).¹⁸ In order to increase the possibility of identifying the carbapenemase, ertapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae with a positive confirmatory test for ESBL were not included in this study. Sixteen E. coli isolates and 60 K. pneumoniae isolates, which were resistant to ertapenem or intermediately resistant to ertapenem on disk diffusion tests and had negative confirmatory tests for ESBL using the double disk diffusion method, were collected for this study. The sources of 16 E. coli isolates included urine (25.0%), blood (12.5%), bile (6.3%), and others (including pus, discharge, ascites, and pleural effusion) (56.3%). The sources of 60 K. pneumoniae isolates included urine (21.7%), blood (18.3%), bile (18.3%), sputum (16.7%), and others (including pus, discharge, ascites, and pleural effusion) (25.0%).

Susceptibility test

The minimal inhibitory concentrations (MICs) of eight antimicrobial agents - aztreonam, amikacin, and cefepime (Bristol-Myers Squibb, NY, USA), imipenem (MSD, Rahway, NJ, USA), ceftazidime (GlaxoSmithKline, Greenford, UK), colistin (Sigma, St. Louis, MO, USA), ertapenem (MSD, Rahway, NJ, USA) and tigecycline (Wyeth, Monza, Italy) were assessed using the broth microdilution method according to the CLSI's recommendations.¹⁹ The MICs of colistin and tigecycline were interpreted according to the breakpoints recommended by the 2012 European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST),²⁰ while those of the other six antimicrobial agents were read according the breakpoints recommended by the 2012 CLSI guidelines.²¹ All MIC tests were performed twice to avoid technical error. Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 and Pseudomonas aeruginosa ATCC 27853 were used as the quality control strains.

Modified Hodge test

The modified Hodge test (MHT; using ertapenem disks) was performed for all 76 isolates and interpreted according to the 2012 recommendations of the CLSI.²¹ Positive and negative control testing of the MHT was performed using *K. pneumoniae* ATCC BAA-1705 and *K. pneumoniae* ATCC BAA-1706.

Molecular techniques

To carry out the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) to detect beta-lactamase genes, bacterial DNA extraction was performed using a QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). All isolates were screened for the resistance genes encoding KPC, GES, IMP-1, IMP-2, VIM-1, AmpC, SHV, CTX-M-Group 9 (CTX-M-G9), and OXA by PCR assay using previously described primers (Table 1).^{22–27} PCR products were sequenced for KPC-2, SHV-5, and CMY-2.

Pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) was performed with a contour-clamped homogeneous electric field DRII apparatus from Bio-Rad Laboratories (Richmond, CA, USA) as previously described.²⁸ The chromosomal DNA was digested overnight with Xbal (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). DNA was electrophoresed in 1.2% SeaKem GTG agarose (FMC, Rockland, ME, USA) at 6 V/cm for 22 hours; the pulse time was increased from 2.2 to 54.2 seconds. The PFGE patterns were also analyzed using the computer software Gelcompar for Windows version 3.1b (Applied Math, Kortrijk, Belgium). The PFGE patterns were compared using the unweighted pair group method with arithmetic averages clustering method by using the Dice coefficient, according to the instructions of the Gelcompar manufacturer. A tolerance of 1.2% in the band position was applied during the comparison of PFGE fingerprinting patterns.

Multilocus sequence typing was carried out with seven housekeeping genes (*rpoB*, *gapA*, *mdh*, *pgi*, *phoE*, *infB*, and *tonB*) for *K*. *pneumoniae*. Allele sequences and sequence

Enzyme	Primer name	Sequence(5' to 3')	Amplicon size (bp)	Reference
Class A carbapenemases				
KPC	KPC forward	ATGTCACTGTATCGCCGTCT	893	22
	KPC reverse	TTTTCAGAGCCTTACTGCCC		
GES	GES-C	GTTTTGCAATGTGCTCAACG	371	23
	GES-D	TGCCATAGCAATAGGCGTAG		
Class B metalloenzymes				
IMP-1	IMP-1 forward	TGAGCAAGTTATCTGTATTC	740	
	IMP-1 reverse	TTAGTTGCTTGGTTTTGATG		
IMP-2	IMP-2 forward	GGCAGTCGCCCTAAAACAAA	737	
	IMP-2 reverse	TAGTTACTTGGCTGTGATGG		
VIM-1	VIM-1 forward	TTATGGAGCAGCAACCGATGT	920	
	VIM-1 reverse	CAAAAGTCCCGCTCCAACGA		
Class D oxacillinases				
OXA	OXA forward	ACACAATACATATCAACTTCGC	813	24
	OXA reverse	AGTGTGTTTAGAATGGTGATC		
AmpC beta-lactamases				
MOX-1, MOX-2, CMY-1, CMY-8 to CMY-11	MOXMF	GCTGCTCAAGGAGCACAGGAT	520	25
	MOXMR	CACATTGACATAGGTGTGGTGC		
LAT-1 to LAT-4, CMY-2 to CMY-7, BIL-1	CITMF	TGGCCAGAACTGACAGGCAAA	462	25
	CITMR	TTTCTCCTGAACGTGGCTGGC		
DHA-1, DHA-2	DHAMF	AACTTTCACAGGTGTGCTGGGT	405	25
	DHAMR	CCGTACGCATACTGGCTTTGC		
ACC	ACCMF	AACAGCCTCAGCAGCCGGTTA	346	25
	ACCMR	TTCGCCGCAATCATCCCTAGC		
MIR-1T ACT-1	EBCMF	TCGGTAAAGCCGATGTTGCGG	302	25
	EBCMR	CTTCCACTGCGGCTGCCAGTT		
FOX-1 to FOX-5b	FOXMF	AACATGGGGTATCAGGGAGATG	190	25
	FOXMR	CAAAGCGCGTAACCGGATTGG		
ESBL				
SHV-5	SHV-5F	TGTTAGCCACCCTGCCGCT	825	26
	SHV-5R	GTTGCCAGTGCTCGATCAG		
9 variants of CTX-M group	CTX-M-G9 (F)	ATGGTGACAAAGAGAGTGCAAC	876	27
	CTX-M-G9 (R)	TTACAGCCCTTCGGCGATG		

types (STs) were identified at <http://www.pasteur.fr/ recherche/genopole/PF8/mlst/Kpneumoniae.html>. A different allele number was given to each distinct sequence within a locus, and a distinct ST number was assigned to each distinct combination of alleles.

Survival and bacteriologic assessments

The clinical outcomes of the 76 patients with acquired ertapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae isolates were analyzed by chart review. The clinical conditions (such as intensive care stay, ventilator use, previous stay in a nursing home, and prior antibiotic treatment) associated with the acquisition of ertapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae isolates were reviewed. The 30-day mortality rates among different groups of the 76 patients were analyzed by Yates' correction of continuity and Fisher's exact test, using the Statistical Package for the Social Science (version 15.1; SPSS Inc, Chicago, IL, USA). If the antibiotics (imipenem, meropenem, colistin, and tigecycline) were reported as active against these isolates and were administered to patients with ertapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae infection, these patients were classified into the observation group. None of the patients was treated with any combination of imipenem, colistin, or tigecycline. The mortality rates of patients treated with tigecycline, colistin, meropenem, and imipenem were also compared. Bacteriologic eradication was defined as a lack of growth of CRE from culture, or a lack of culture report due to removal of the drainage tube on the $14^{\rm th}$ day of antibiotic treatment.

Results

The beta-lactamase genes detected in each ertapenemresistant *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolate are listed in Table 2. Twenty-five isolates carried a single gene, including bla_{CMY-2} in 11 *E. coli* and 1 *K. pneumoniae* isolates, bla_{SHV-5} in 6 *K. pneumoniae* isolates, bla_{DHA} in 6 *K. pneumoniae* isolates, and bla_{ACC} in 1 *E. coli* isolate. The two-gene combination bla_{SHV-5} and bla_{DHA} was found in 19 *K. pneumoniae* isolates. The combination of three genes, bla_{SHV-5} , bla_{DHA} , and $bla_{CTx-M-G9}$, was found in 6 *K. pneumoniae* isolates. The class D beta-lactamase gene, bla_{OXA} , was found in five *K. pneumoniae* isolates. Only one *K. pneumoniae* isolate was found to carry bla_{KPC-2} and was classified as ST11. A positive MHT result was found in 15 isolates (4 *E. coli* and 11 *K. pneumoniae*).

The most prevalent genes in ertapenem-resistant *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates are listed in Table 3. The bla_{CMY-2} gene (alone or in combination with other genes) was found in 93.8% of 16 *E. coli* isolates. The bla_{SHV-5} gene (alone or in combination) was found in 75.0% of 60 *K. pneumoniae* isolates. The bla_{DHA} gene (alone or in combination with other genes) was found in 58.3% of 60 *K. pneumoniae* isolates. The combination bla_{SHV-5} — bla_{DHA} (including further combination with other genes) was found in 45% of 60 *K. pneumoniae* isolates. The combination bla_{SHV-5}— bla_{DHA} (including further combination with other genes) was found in 45% of 60 *K. pneumoniae* isolates. The combination,

Genes encoding beta-lactamases	Escherichia coli (n)	Klebsiella pneumoniae (n)	Positive modified Hodge test
None	0	6	1 (K. pneumoniae)
Single gene			
CMY-2	11	1	2 (E. coli), 1 (K. pneumoniae)
SHV-5	0	6	3 (K. pneumoniae)
DHA	0	6	2 (K. pneumoniae)
ACC	1	0	
Two genes			
DHA, SHV-5	0	19	2 (K. pneumoniae)
SHV-5, CTX-M-G9	0	4	
CTX-M-G9, CMY-2	2	0	2 (E. coli)
SHV-5, CMY-2	1	0	
DHA, CTX-M-G9	0	1	
SHV-5, OXA	0	1	
Three genes			
DHA, SHV-5, CTX-M-G9	0	6	
SHV-5, CMY-2, OXA	0	3	
DHA, SHV-5, CTX-M-G9	0	2	
DHA, CTX-M-G9, CMY-2	0	1	1 (K. pneumoniae)
KPC-2, SHV-5, CTX-M-G9	0	1	1 (K. pneumoniae)
SHV-5, CTX-M-G9, ACC	0	1	
SHV-5, CTX-M-G9, OXA	0	1	
SHV-5, CTX-M-G9, CMY-2	0	1	
DHA, SHV-5, CMY-2	1	0	
Total	16	60	15

 Table 2
 The beta-lactamase genes detected in each of the ertapenem-resistant Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates

 Table 3
 Prevalent gene of ertapenem-resistant Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae isolates

Genes encoding beta-lactamases	Escherichia coli (%)	Klebsiella pneumoniae (%)	p	
Prevalent gene, a	lone or in com	bination with othe	er genes	
CMY-2	15/16 (93.8)	6/60 (10.0)	<0.001 ^a	
SHV-5	2/16 (12.5)	45/60 (75.0)	<0.001 ^b	
DHA	1/16 (6.3)	35/60 (58.3)	0.001 ^b	
Prevalent genetic combination (including combination with other genes)				
SHV-5, DHA	1/16 (6.3)	27/60 (45.0)	0.010 ^b	
SHV-5,	0	16/60 (26.7)	0.017 ^a	
CTX-M-G9				
 ^a Fisher's exact t ^b Yates' correction 	est. on of continuity	·.		

 bla_{SHV-5} — $bla_{CTx-M-G9}$ (including further combination with other genes) was found in 26.7% of 60 *K. pneumoniae* isolates.

The antimicrobial susceptibilities of ertapenemresistant *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates are listed in Table 4. These 76 isolates showed moderate to high resistance to aztreonam, cefepime, and ceftazidime. All 16 *E. coli* isolates were susceptible to amikacin, but only 40% of the 60 *K. pneumoniae* isolates were susceptible to amikacin. Eight (50%) of 16 *E. coli* isolates were susceptible to imipenem. Fourteen (23.3%) of the 60 ertapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* were susceptible to imipenem. The susceptibility rates of 16 *E. coli* isolates to colistin and tigecycline were 62.5% and 75.0%, respectively. The susceptibility rates of 60 ertapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* to colistin and tigecycline were 58.3% and 50.0%, respectively.

The clinical conditions associated with the acquisition of ertapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* were treatment with antibiotics (93.4%), intensive care stay (60.5%), ventilator use (60.5%), and previous stay in a nursing home (26.3%). A comparison of 30-day mortality among the different groups of 76 patients who acquired ertapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* is shown in Table 5. The 30-day mortality rate of all the 76 patients was 40.8%

(31/76). The 7-, 14-, 30-, and 90-day mortality rates of 33 patients in the observation group were 51.5%, 51.5%, 60.6%, 72.7%, respectively (p = 0.247). There was no significant difference in the 30-day mortality rate between the 15 patients treated with tigecycline (73.3%) and the other 18 patients treated with colistin, imipenem, or meropenem (73.3% vs. 50.0%; p = 0.313). Among 33 patients in the observation group, 20 patients had an abscess at the infection site. Among these 20 patients, 13 patients who underwent adequate drainage or surgical debridement had a higher survival rate than the other seven patients who did not (100% vs. 14.3%; p < 0.001). There was no significant difference in the 30-day mortality rate between the group with an imipenem MIC \leq 1 $\mu g/mL$ and the group with an imipenem MIC \geq 4 µg/mL (40.9% vs. 35.0%; p = 0.852). The bacteriologic eradication rates of tigecycline, imipenem, and colistin on the 14th day of treatment were 66.7%, 50%, and 25%, respectively.

The dendrograms of PFGE fingerprinting of 16 *E. coli* isolates and 60 *K. pneumoniae* isolates are shown in Figs. 1 and 2, respectively. Nine clusters (A–I) of 60 ertapenemresistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates were identified. Each of clusters A and B included six isolates. Each of clusters C and D included three isolates, and each of clusters E–I included two isolates. Two clusters (A and B) of ertapenem-resistant *E. coli* isolates were identified, each cluster containing two *E. coli* isolates.

Discussion

The prevalence rates of ertapenem-resistant *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolated at TCVGH (tested by the disk diffusion method; CLSI 2009)¹⁸ from 2010 to 2011 were 0.52% and 2.97%, respectively. The prevalence rates of CRE were lower than those of ESBL-producing *Enter-obacteriaceae*. However, we were concerned that the major source of CRE isolates was the gastrointestinal tract. Colonization of CRE of the gastrointestinal tract could be observed for a median of 87 days (range 60–450 days).²⁹ CRE can spread from infected patients or hospital staff to families and communities by household or social contact, in contrast to the multidrug-resistant healthcare-associated, glucose-nonfermentive pathogens harbored mainly in the

Table 4 Antil	piotic susceptibil	lity of ertapenem-re	esistant <i>Escherichia</i>	coli and Klebsiella	pneumoniae isolate	25	
Antibiotics	E	Escherichia coli ($n = 16$)			Klebsiella pneumoniae ($n = 60$)		
	MIC range (μg/mL)	MIC ₅₀ /MIC ₉₀ (µg/mL)	Susceptibility (%)	MIC range (μg/mL)	MIC ₅₀ /MIC ₉₀ (µg/mL)	Susceptibility (%)	
Amikacin	0.25-8	2/8	100	0.25 to >256	>256/>256	40.0	
Aztreonam	2—256	32/256	12.5	1-256	32/256	6.7	
Cefepime	0.5-128	16/128	43.8	1 to > 256	128/> 256	16.7	
Ceftazidime	64-256	256/256	0	16-256	256/256	0	
Colistin	1-16	2/4	62.5 ^ª	1–64	2/4	58.3ª	
Ertapenem	0.25-64	8/32	6.3	0.5-256	16/128	0	
Imipenem	0.25-16	2/4	50.0	0.25-256	4/16	23.3	
Tigecycline	0.5–4	1/2	75.0 ^a	0.5-8	1/4	50.0 ^a	

^a According to the breakpoints recommended by EUCAST, 2012.²⁰

MIC = minimal inhibitory concentration.

Table 5Comparison of 30-day mortality rates amongdifferent groups of 76 patients who acquired ertapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae

	Mortality (%)	р
Source of culture ^c		
Blood	8/13 (61.5)	0.173 ^a
Sputum	4/10 (40.0)	1.000 ^b
Urine	4/17 (23.5)	0.173 ^a
Bile	2/12 (16.7)	0.108 ^b
Others	13/24 (54.2)	0.173 ^a
MIC of imipenem		
\leq 1 μ g/mL	9/22 (40.9)	0.852 ^{a,d}
2 μg/mL	8/14 (57.1)	
\geq 4 μ g/mL	14/40 (35.0)	
Comparison among	observation group ^e	
Colistin	2/4 (50.0)	1.000 ^b
Tigecycline	11/15 (73.3)	0.313 ^a
Imipenem	6/11 (54.5)	0.714 ^b
Meropenem	1/3 (33.3)	0.547 ^b
	1/3 (33.3)	0.547

^a Yates' correction of continuity.

^b Fisher's exact test.

^c The 30-day mortality rates of infections from each single source were compared with those from all the other sources. ^d The difference in the 30-day mortality rates between the

two groups, imipenem MIC \leq 1 µg/mL and \geq 4 µg/mL.

 $^{\rm e}$ The 30-day mortality rate of infections treated with each antibiotic of the observation group was compared with those treated by all the other antibiotics of the observation group. MIC = minimal inhibitory concentration.

- 100	
.41	
40)
.8	
.16	i
.2	
.14	
.60)
.46	;
.45	
.58	A
.48	
.70)
.13	
.4	B
.30)
.53	

Figure 1. A dendrogram of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) fingerprinting of 16 *Escherichia coli* isolates after digestion with Xbal.

hospital environments. The example of ESBL-producing *Enterobacteriaceae* in the past 10 years indicates that CRE may rapidly reach a high rate worldwide⁶ and result in a crisis of treatment failure.

The zone diameters of 12 isolates (5 E. coli and 7 K. pneumoniae) were interpreted as intermediately resistant to ertapenem by the microbiology laboratory at TCVGH according to breakpoints (susceptible, >19 mm; intermediately resistant, 16–18 mm; resistant, <15 mm) recommended by the CLSI (2009).¹⁸ However, ertapenem MICs for all these 12 isolates were \geq 1 μ g/m (range $1-32 \mu g/mL$) and were read as resistant according to breakpoints recommended by the CLSI (2012).²¹ If the breakpoints of disk diffusion were updated according to the 2012 CLSI recommendations²¹ (susceptible, > 23 mm; intermediately resistant, 20–22 mm; resistant, \leq 19 mm), the results of disk diffusion tests for these isolates would be read as resistant. Therefore, the susceptibility measurements would be consistent for the disk diffusion test and broth microdilution method. The ertapenem MICs for two additional isolates were 0.25 and 0.5 μ g/mL, respectively, and were interpreted as susceptible according to breakpoints recommended by the CLSI (2012).²¹ The beta-lactamase gene(s), MHT, and treatment outcomes for these two isolates were: CMY-2, positive MHT, treatment failure for the first one; and SHV-5, CTX-M-G9, and ACC, negative MHT, treatment failure for the second one.

Due to the complexity of the resistance mechanisms, CRE may exhibit a wide range of MICs for carbapenem, including some with only low levels of carbapenem resistance.³ Intermediate susceptibility and even susceptibility to carbapenems have been observed for producers of all types of carbapenemase.³⁰ There is no consensus on the cut-off value of MICs of carbapenems that should be applied for research into carbapenemase activity.³⁰ The criteria for ertapenem resistance had not been clearly established by microbiologic (mechanisms and breakpoints) and clinical data, so these two isolates were included in this study.

The most prevalent genes were bla_{CMY-2} in 93.8% of 16 E. coli isolates, and bla_{SHV-5} in 75% of 60 K. pneumoniae isolates. Most isolates harbored combination genes, such as bla_{SHV-5} and bla_{DHA} in 45% of 60 K. pneumoniae isolates, and bla_{SHV-5} and bla_{CTx-M-G9} in 26.7% of 60 K. pneumoniae isolates in this study. The bla genes associated with carbapenem resistance are complex. The bla genes of 16 bla_{KPC-2}-producing K. pneumoniae strains that have spread worldwide were analyzed.⁸ These harbored a natural chromosome-encoded bla gene [bla_{SHV-1} ([12.5%), bla_{SHV-11} (68.7%), or bla_{OKP-A/B} (18.8%)] and several acquired and plasmid-encoded genes [bla_{TEM-1} (81.3%), bla_{CTx-M-2} (31.3%), $bla_{CTx-M-12}$ (12.5%), $bla_{CTx-M-15}$ (18.7%), and bla_{OxA-9} (37.5%)]. The *bla*_{KPC-2} gene was always associated with one of the Tn4401 isoforms (a, b, or c). The complexity of betalactamase genes harbored in carbapenem-resistant isolates makes the phenotype-based identification of carbapenem resistance more difficult.

One K. pneumoniae isolate that carried the bla_{KPC-2} gene was classified as ST11. It was isolated from a patient who had been hospitalized in China. The dominant clone (ST11) of KPC-producing K. pneumoniae isolates was identified as originating from Zhejiang province (4 hospitals in Hangzhou



Figure 2. A dendrogram of pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) fingerprinting of 60 *Klebsiella pneumoniae* isolates after digestion with Xbal.

and 1 hospital in Ningbo), Jiangsu province (1 hospital in Nanjing) and Anhui province (1 hospital in Hefei) in China.¹¹ Early identification of the KPC-producing *K. pneumoniae* isolates from patients linked epidemiologically with these endemic hospitals in Chinese cities is mandatory to control the spread.

Mechanisms of carbapenem resistance include carbapenemase production,⁷⁻¹⁰ combination of AmpC-hyperproduction and/or extended spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-production, and porin mutation.³⁻⁶ *Enterobacteriaceae* with ESBL production and porin mutation have been identified in Taiwan.^{13,17} According to the

report from the microbiology laboratory at TCVGH from 2010 to 2011, 12 (26%) of 46 ertapenem-resistant *E. coli* isolates had a positive confirmatory test for ESBL; 34 (20%) of 173 ertapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates had a positive confirmatory test for ESBL.

To increase the possibility of identifying the carbapenemase, ertapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae with a positive confirmatory test for ESBL were not included in this study. The selection of Enterobacteriaceae with a positive screening test and negative confirmatory test for ESBL did not indicate that Enterobacteriaceae with ESBL genes and porin mutation were excluded. In this study, 56.7% of 60 K. pneumoniae isolates with a positive screening test and negative confirmatory test for ESBL harbored ESBL genes as well as ampC genes. Similarly, results from the SENTRY Asia-Pacific Surveillance Program showed that 57% of 51 K. pneumoniae with a positive screening test and negative confirmatory test for ESBL harbored a combination of ESBL and plasmid-borne AmpC enzyme of the CIT or DHA type.³¹ For the Enterobacteriaceae isolates carrying both the ESBL and AmpC enzymes, the phenotype appeared to be a positive screening test and a negative confirmatory test. The AmpC enzyme can hydrolyze clavulanic acid, and thus make the confirmatory test negative.32

Among the 15 isolates with a positive MHT result, only one isolate harbored a carbapenemase gene, the bla_{KPC-2} gene. One isolate was negative on PCR. The other 13 isolates harbored the bla_{ESBL} gene(s), bla_{AmpC} gene(s), or both types of gene. It is important to be aware of falsepositive results when interpreting the MHT. 33, 34 The sensitivity and specificity of the MHT for detecting carbapenemase were 77.4% and 38.9% in 54 carbapenemase and/or ESBL/AmpC-producing Enterobacteriaceae isolates respectively, in one previous study.³³ False-positive MHT results could result from the production of ESBL or ampC.^{33,34} Five isolates were found to contain the bla_{OxA} gene. Since OXA-48 was first detected in K. pneumoniae isolates in Turkey in 2003, OXA-48-producing Enterobacteriaceae have spread worldwide.9,12 Acinetobacter baumannii isolates with OXA-23, OXA-24, OXA-51, and OXA-58 have been reported in Taiwan.³⁵ However, Enterobacteriaceae with a bla_{OxA} gene have never been reported in Taiwan. Therefore, sequencing of the bla_{OxA} gene is needed to identify the types of $bla_{O\times A}$ gene present.

The susceptibility rates of 60 ertapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae to colistin and tigecycline were 58.3% and 50.0%, respectively, in this study. The susceptibility patterns of CRE to colistin and tigecycline varied in different strains.³⁶ Susceptibility tests on 81 CRE isolates from the UK were performed using the agar dilution method recommended by the CLSI.³⁶ Chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, and nitrofurantoin inhibited less than 25% of isolates, whereas colistin was active against 75/81 isolates (92.6%), and tigecycline was active against 38/81 isolates (46.9%).³⁶ The MICs of ertapenem and imipenem against K. pneumoniae isolates showed a range from 0.5 to 256 µg/mL and 0.25 to 256 μ g/mL, respectively, in this study. The range of carbapenem MIC for 49 CRE strains from China with different resistance mechanisms has been reported.³⁷ Moderate- to high-level carbapenem resistance in most isolates is more closely associated with a loss or decreased expression of both major porins combined with the production of AmpC or ESBL, while KPC-2, IMP-4, and IMP-8 carbapenemase production may lead to a low to moderate level of carbapenem resistance in *Enterobacteriaceae* in China.³⁷

There was no significant difference between the mortality rates of the group who acquired CRE susceptible to imipenem and those who acquired CRE resistant to imipenem (p = 0.852). Patients in the observation group showed poor survival. In contrast, removal of the focus of infection (by debridement or drainage of the abscess) was associated with good survival. In a recent case-control study on the outcomes of carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae infection, removal of the focus of infection focus by an adjunctive procedure (i.e., debridement, drainage, or removal of the catheter) was associated with patient survival [odds ratio (OR) 0.14, 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.04–0.49; p = 0.002].³⁸ The administration of antibiotics with in vitro activity against carbapenem-resistant K. pneumoniae was not associated with patient survival (OR 2.3, 95% CI 0.73–7.24; p = 0.15).³⁸ Although the patients treated with tigecycline had higher bacteriologic eradication rates, there was no significant difference in the 30-day mortality rate between these patients and the other patients in the observation group. The causes of mortality could be attributed to inadequate debridement or drainage, severity, and patient co-morbidity. If patients were stratified according to infection site, severity, and comorbidity, the number in each group would be too small to provide data of adequate sample size for the statistical analysis in this study. However, this study focused on the overview of clinical outcome rather than an analysis of risk factors for mortality.

The PFGE patterns of 60 K. pneumoniae isolates were relatively diverse, since some strains could have originated from different ancestors. This indicated that the Enterobacteriaceae could acquire a resistance gene from horizontal transfer of mobile genetic elements carrying a resistance gene (such as a plasmid or transposon). The fact that several clusters of ertapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae isolates were identified indicated that they had spread in the hospital via contacts. Appropriate strategies should be implemented to limit the spread of these pathogens, including active surveillance of patients with epidemiologic links to persons from whom CRE have been recovered, especially for high-risk groups (those with prior antibiotic treatment, an intensive care stay, ventilator use, or a previous stay in a nursing home). However, the costeffectiveness of active surveillance remains to be explored.

In conclusion, two carbapenemase genes, bla_{KPC-2} and bla_{OXA} , were identified in carbapenem-resistant *K. pneumoniae* isolates. Other carbapenemase genes such as bla_{GES} , bla_{IMP} , and bla_{VIM} were not detected. The genetic combinations bla_{SHV-5} — bla_{DHA} and bla_{SHV-5} — $bla_{CTx-M-G9}$ were prevalent in *K. pneumoniae* isolates. The mortality rates of patient-acquired ertapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* infection were high. Removal of the focus of infection (by debridement or drainage of the abscess) was associated with good survival. The findings of carbapenemase genes in a few isolates and small clusters of CRE indicated emerging problems at the hospital. Appropriate strategies should be implemented to control the spread of CRE.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

Acknowledgments

This article is based on the protocol approved by the TCVGH Institutional Review Board (IRB no. CE12119). We thank the staff of the TCVGH Microbiology Laboratory for collecting these isolates and for technical assistance. We also thank the Biostatistics Task Force at TCVGH for statistical assistance.

References

- 1. Hoban D, Nicolle L, Hawser S, Bouchillon S, Badal R. Antimicrobial susceptibility of global inpatient urinary tract isolates of *Escherichia coli*: results from the Study for Monitoring Antimicrobial Resistance Trends (SMART) program: 2009–2010. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis* 2011;**70**:507–11.
- Marchaim D, Chopra T, Bogan C, Bheemreddy S, Sengstock D, Jagarlamudi R, et al. The burden of multidrug-resistant organisms on tertiary hospitals posed by patients with recent stays in long-term acute care facilities. *Am J Infec Contro* 2012;40:760-5.
- Pasteran F, Mendez T, Guerriero L, Rapoport M, Corso A. Sensitive screening tests for suspected class a carbapenemase production in species of *Enterobacteriaceae*. J Clin Microbiol 2009;47:1631–9.
- Crowley B, Benedí VJ, Doménech-Sánchez A. Expression of SHV-2 β-lactamase and of reduced amounts of OmpK36 porin in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* results in increased resistance to cephalosporins and carbapenems. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2002;46:3679–82.
- Doumith M, Ellington MJ, Livermore DM, Woodford N. Molecular mechanisms disrupting porin expression in ertapenem-resistant *Klebsiella* and *Enterobacter* spp. clinical isolates from the UK. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009;63:659–67.
- Lartigue M-R, Poirel L, Poyart C, Réglier-Poupet H, Nordmann P. Ertapenem resistance of *Escherichia coli*. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2007;13:315–7.
- Queenan AM, Bush K. Carbapenemases: the versatile β-lactamases. Clin Microbiol Rev 2007;20:440–58.
- Cuzon G, Naas T, Truong H, Villegas MV, Wisell KT, Carmeli Y. Worldwide diversity of *Klebsiella pneumoniae* that produce β-lactamase *bla*_{KPC-2} gene. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2010; 16:1349-56.
- 9. Nordmann P, Naas T, Poirel L. Global spread of carbapenemaseproducing *Enterobacteriaceae*. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2011;17: 1791-8.
- Poirel L, Hombrouk-Alet C, Freneaux C, Bernabeu S, Nordmann P. Global spread of New Delhi metallo-β-lactamase
 Lancet Infect Dis 2010;10:832.
- 11. Qi Y, Wei Z, Ji S, Du X, Shen P, Yu Y. ST11, the dominant clone of KPC-producing *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in China. J Antimicrob Chemother 2011;**66**:307–12.
- Carrër A, Poirel L, Yilmaz M, Akan OA, Feriha C, Cuzon G. Spread of OXA-48—encoding plasmid in Turkey and beyond. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2010;54:1369–73.
- Yan JJ, Wu JJ, Lee CC, Ko WC, Yang FC. Prevalence and characteristics of ertapenem-nonsusceptible *Escherichia coli* in a Taiwanese university hospital, 1999 to 2007. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2010;29:1417–25.
- 14. Wu JJ, Wang LR, Liu YF, Chen HM, Yan JJ. Prevalence and characteristics of ertapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae*

isolates in a Taiwanese university hospital. *Microb Drug Resist* 2011;**17**:259–66.

- 15. Yan JJ, Ko WC, Chuang CL, Wu JJ. Metallo-beta-lactamaseproducing Enterobacteriaceae isolates in a university hospital in Taiwan: prevalence of IMP-8 in Enterobacter cloacae and first identification of VIM-2 in Citrobacter freundii. J Antimicrob Chemother 2002;50:503-11.
- Lauderdale TL, Shi ZY, Lin CF, Lai JF, Tan MJ, Wang JT, et al. ST11 KPC-2 Klebsiella pneumoniaedetected in Taiwan. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2012;56:2207–8.
- 17. Chia JH, Su LH, Lee MH, Kuo AJ, Shih NY, Siu LK, et al. Development of high-level carbapenem resistance in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* among patients with prolonged hospitalization and carbapenem exposure. *Microb Drug Resist* 2010;16: 317–25.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 16th informational supplement. M100–S19. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2009.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Methods for dilution antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bacteria that grow aerobically; approved standard. 7th ed. M7–A7. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2006.
- European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing. Breakpoint tables for interpretation of MICs and zone diameters. Version 2.0. EUCAST; 2012. Available at http://www. eucast.org/clinical_breakpoints/.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. 22th Informational Supplement. M100–S22. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2012.
- 22. Bradford PA, Bratu S, Urban C, Visalli M, Mariano N, Landman D, et al. Emergence of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella* species possessing the class A carbapenem-hydrolyzing KPC-2 and inhibitor-resistant TEM-30 β-lactamases in New York City. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004;**39**:55–60.
- Weldhagen GF, Prinsloo A. Molecular detection of GES-2 extended spectrum β-lactamase producing *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in Pretoria, South Africa. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2004; 24:35–8.
- Ouellette M, Bissonnette L, Roy PH. Precise insertion of antibiotic resistance determinants into Tn21-like transposons: nucleotide sequence of the OXA-1 beta-lactamase gene. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 1987;84:7378-82.
- Pérez-Pérez FJ, Hanson ND. Detection of plasmid-mediated AmpC beta-lactamase genes in clinical isolates by using multiplex PCR. J Clin Microbiol 2002;40:2153–62.
- Böckelmann U, Dörries HH, Ayuso-Gabella MN, Salgot de Marçay M, Tandoi V, Levantesi C, et al. Quantitative PCR monitoring of antibiotic resistance genes and bacterial pathogens in three European artificial groundwater recharge systems. Appl Environ Microbiol 2009;75:154–63.
- Cottell JL, Webber MA, Coldham NG, Taylor DL, Cerdeño-Tárraga AM, Hauser H, et al. Complete sequence and molecular epidemiology of IncK epidemic plasmid encoding *bla*CTX-M-14. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2011;17:645–52.
- Shi ZY, Liu PY, Lau YJ, Lin YH, Hu BS. Epidemiological typing of isolates from an outbreak of infection with multidrug-resistant *Enterobacter cloacae* by repetitive extragenic palindromic unit b1-primed PCR and pulsed-field gel electrophoresis. J Clin Microbiol 1996;34:2784–90.
- Oren I, Sprecher H, Finkelstein R, Hadad S, Krivoy N, Zuckerman T. Eradication of carbapenem-resistant *Enterobacteriaceae* colonization with non-absorbable oral antibiotic treatment. 21st ECCMID 2011. Abstract number: P693.
- Nordmann P, Gniadkowski M, Giske CG, Poirel L, Woodford N, Miriagou V. Identification and screening of carbapenemaseproducing *Enterobacteriaceae*. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2012;18: 432–8.

- Bell JM, Chitsaz M, Turnidge JD, Barton M, Walters LJ, Jones RN. Prevalence and significance of a negative extendedspectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL) confirmation test result after a positive ESBL screening test result for isolates of *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: results from the SENTRY Asia-Pacific Surveillance Program. J Clin Microbiol 2007;45: 1478–82.
- Kao CC, Liu MF, Lin CF, Huang YC, Liu PY, Chang CW, et al. Antimicrobial susceptibility and multiplex PCR screening of AmpC genes from isolates of *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Citrobacter freundii*, and *Serratia marcescens*. J Microbiol Immunol Infect 2010;43:180–7.
- Girlich D, Poirel L, Nordmann P. Value of the modified Hodge test for detection of emerging carbapenemases in *Enter*obacteriaceae. J Clin Microbiol 2012;50:477–9.
- Carvalhaes CG, Picão RC, Nicoletti AG, Xavier DE, Gales AC. Cloverleaf test (modified Hodge test) for detecting carbapenemase production in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*: be aware of false positive results. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2010;65:249–51.

- 35. Yang SC, Chang WJ, Chang YH, Tsai YS, Yang TP, Juan CW, et al. Prevalence of antibiotics resistance and OXA carbapenemases genes in multidrug-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii* isolates in central Taiwan. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis* 2010;**29**: 601–4.
- 36. Livermore DM, Warner M, Mushtaq S, Doumith M, Zhang J, Woodford N. What remains against carbapenem-resistant *Enter-obacteriaceae*? Evaluation of chloramphenicol, ciprofloxacin, colistin, fosfomycin, minocycline, nitrofurantoin, temocillin and tigecycline. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2011;**37**:415–9.
- 37. Yang Q, Wang H, Sun H, Chen H, Xu Y, Chen M. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of *Enterobacteriaceae* with decreased susceptibility to carbapenems: results from large hospital-based surveillance studies in China. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2010;54:573–7.
- Patel G, Huprikar S, Factor SH, Jenkins SG, Calfee DP. Outcomes of carbapenem-resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* infection and the impact of antimicrobial and adjunctive therapies. *Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol* 2008;29:1099–106.